

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•						
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVI			OR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFI			
10/808,793	_03/24/2004	Ervin T. Hill	42P18020 6018			
759	90 11/14/2005	EXAMINER				
Michael A. Be		YEVSIKOV, VICTOR V				
Seventh Floor	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	2891				
Los Angeles, C	A 90025	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/808,793		HILL ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Victor V. Yevsikov		2891				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation app	ears on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months after adopted the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DA 37 CFR 1.13 nication. tory period w II, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMI (6(a). In no event, however, m ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor	UNICATION ay a reply be tim MONTHS from ne ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 03 No	ovember 2005						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□									
<u>ا</u> رد	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			0.2,					
· ·		nlination							
•	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restricted	on and/or	election requirement	•					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner	•						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/4. (P10-1449 or P10/SB/08) 5) Other:									

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 7-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chao et al. (US 2005/0098821 A1).

With respect to claims 1 Chao teaches a method for lithography pattering of the thin film stacks, comprising:

forming a thin film stack on a substrate, wherein the thin film stack includes at least a polysilicon layer 106, 113 and an oxide layer 104, 111;

forming a hard mask layer 114, 115 comprised an anti-reflective coating (ARC) layer (§ 0026) on the thin film stack;

patterning the ARC layer (figs. 6,7);

etching the hard mask layer using the patterned ARC layer as a mask (fig. 6); and

etching the thin film stack using the hard mask layer as a mask (figs. 9, 10).

With respect to claims 5, 6, 8 and 9 Chao teaches a method wherein the hard mask layer comprises a material that has high selectivity to both polysilicon and oxide etches chemistries.

Art Unit: 2891

the hard mask layer comprises amorphous carbon.

ARC layer is removed during the etching of the thin film stack.

removing the hard mask material from the thin film stack.

With respect to claims 10 Chao teaches a method for lithography pattering of the thin film stacks, comprising:

forming a thin film stack on a substrate, wherein the thin film stack includes at least a polysilicon layer 106, 113 and an oxide layer 104, 111;

forming a hard mask layer 114, 115 comprised an anti-reflective coating (ARC) layer (§ 0026) on the thin film stack;

patterning the ARC layer (figs. 6,7);

etching the hard mask layer using the patterned ARC layer as a mask (fig. 6);

and

etching the flash memory gate stack using the hard mask layer as a mask (figs. 9, 10). With respect to claims 5, 6, 8 and 9 Chao teaches a method wherein

the hard mask layer comprises a material that has high selectivity to both polysilicon and oxide etches chemistries (§0026);

the hard mask layer comprises amorphous carbon (§0026);

ARC layer is removed during the etching of the thin film stack (fig.10).

removing the hard mask material from the thin film stack.

With respect to claims 10 Chao teaches a method for lithography pattering of the thin film stacks, comprising:

Art Unit: 2891

and

forming a thin film stack on a substrate, wherein the thin film stack includes at least a polysilicon layer 106, 113 and an oxide layer 104, 111;

forming a hard mask layer 114, 115 comprised an anti-reflective coating (ARC) layer (§ 0026) on the thin film stack;

patterning the ARC layer (figs. 6,7);

etching the hard mask layer using the patterned ARC layer as a mask (fig. 6);

etching the flash memory gate stack using the hard mask layer as a mask (figs. 9, 10).

With respect to claims 13, 15, 16, 18 and 19 Chao teaches a method wherein:

the flash memory gate stack is comprised of a gate dielectric layer 104, a floating gate layer 111, an inter-electrode dielectric layer 112, and a control gate electrode layer 113;

the hard mask layer comprises a material that has high selectivity to both polysilicon and oxide etches chemistries (§0026);

the hard mask layer comprises amorphous carbon (§0026);

the ARC layer is removed during the etching of the flash memory gate stack and removing the hard mask material from the flash memory gate stack (fig.10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2891

Claims 2 –4, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chao in view of Mahorowala et al. (US 6,869,899 B2).

Chao discloses the features out lined above, but does not show exactly a method wherein the ARC layer is patterned with resist using 193 nm or less lithography; the thickness of the resist is less than 5000 Å; and the hard mask layer has a thickness of between 1000 and 3000 Å and the ARC layer has a thickness of between 100 and 500 Å.

However, Mahorowala teach the method wherein the ARC layer is patterned with resist using 193 nm or less lithography; the thickness of the resist is less than 5000 Å; and the hard mask layer has a thickness of between 1000 and 3000 Å and the ARC layer has a thickness of between 100 and 500 Å (reference: figs. 1B, 2A with corresponding text; col. 1, lines 16-39; col. 2, lines 40-49; cl. 18).

It would have been obvious to those skilled in the art using 193 nm lithography and resist, hard mask and ARC layers as taught by Chao / Mahorowala for provides method for producing a lithographically printed image having a reduced critical dimension.

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chao in view of Kumar et al. (US 2005/0079706 A1).

Chao discloses the features out lined above, but does not show exactly a method wherein the hard mask layer comprises Applied Materials film.

However, Kumar teach the method wherein the hard mask layer comprises Applied Materials film (§0041).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,793 Page 6

Art Unit: 2891

It would have been obvious to those skilled in the art using Applied Materials film as taught by Chao / Kumar for provides method for reduced critical dimension.

Remarks

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but they are not persuasive. The combinations detail each and every element of applicant's claims or further show the invention of applicant's is an obvious development from the prior art and using anti-reflective coating.

Chao ('821) teach (§ 0026) "The ARC hard mask layer serves to eliminate undesirable optical effects from subsequent lithography operations. Ideally, the hard mask will comprise a material that can be selectively etched with respect to the gate stack layers. The ARC hard mask layer may be comprised of an oxide/oxynitride film stack (which is the ARC at present claims). In other embodiments, the ARC hard mask layer may be comprised of nitride or carbon (which is material for the hard mask layer at present claims).. In the specification applicant teach "The ARC layer may be comprised of silicon dioxide, silicon oxynitride or a composite thereof".

So, according the applicant, ARC and hard mask may be comprised same materials, as reference.

Also, hard mask layer, according the Chao, serves to eliminate undesirable optical effects from subsequent lithography operations. In this case hard mask layer should be cover another layers for protection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/808,793 Page 7

Art Unit: 2891

Notice of Finality

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1. 136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1. 136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

V. Yersi vor

Victor Yevsikov Examiner Art Unit 2891

November 9, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER